



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.        | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/659,416  | 09/10/2003  | Jeffrey D. Messerly  | END0797US                  | 4619             |
| 27777   | 7590        | 11/24/2008           |                            |                  |
| PHILIP S. JOHNSON<br>JOHNSON & JOHNSON<br>ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA<br>NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>HALL, DEANNA K |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT                   | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3767                       |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE                  | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 11/24/2008                 | PAPER            |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                              |                                      |  |  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/659,416 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MESSERLY ET AL. |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b><br>DEANNA K. HALL    | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3767                |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 November 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>July 16, 2008; December 5, 2005; May 31, 2005</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |



## DETAILED ACTION

### *Information Disclosure Statement*

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on May 31, 2005; December 5, 2005; and July 16, 2008 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97(b). Accordingly, the IDSs are being considered by the Examiner.

### *Claim Objections*

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: The dependency of claim 6 appears to be a typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. **Claims 1-11 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lo et al. (US 5,275,607) ("Lo").** Lo discloses:

A vibrating/oscillating asymmetric blade 30 or a blade symmetric in at least one plane positioned at the distal end of the instrument Figs. 5-8 and a lumen 34 positioned in an overlapping relationship with the blade. The lumen is moveable distally or radially Figs. 11a-11c and provides suction or irrigation to a surgical site, see claim 15, C7 L33-

Art Unit: 3767

43. The blade is designed to vibrate in a longitudinal mode coupled with either a transverse or torsional mode and the lumen is fixed or moveable to a position adjacent to the transverse or torsional node to facilitate suction C5 L40-55. The instrument proximal end has controls for suction and or irrigation C15 L39-46.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**6. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo (US 5,275,607).**

Lo discloses the invention as substantially claimed (see above). However, Lo does not directly disclose the blade defining a channel for irrigation or suction. The channel for irrigation or suction in Lo is within the lumen. Applicant has not disclosed that having the channel within the blade rather than a separate lumen solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. Moreover, it appears that the lumen of Lo, or applicant's channel in the blade would perform equally well. Accordingly, it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Lo such that the irrigation or suction channel would be within the blade because such a modification would have been considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over Lo.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEANNA K. HALL whose telephone number is (571)272-2819. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deanna K. Hall/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3767  
11/20/08  
/Kevin C. Sirmons/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767